

### Section 8: Universality and Lindeberg's approach<sup>a</sup>

- Sections: Wed, 7:30-8:30pm (SC 705); OHs: Wed 8:30-9:30pm (SC 316.07).
- All the section materials (handouts & solutions) can be found either on Canvas or here.

---

<sup>a</sup>This handout is partially based on [3].

**Theorem 1.** For  $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is thrice differentiable in each coordinate, and  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ , assume

$$\lambda_r(h) := \sup_{\mathbf{x}, i} |\partial_i^r f(\mathbf{x})| \leq L_r < \infty$$

where  $\partial_i^r$  denotes  $r$ -fold differentiation with respect to the  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate. Let  $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  be two independent families of random variables. Let

$$u_i := |\mathbb{E}a_i - \mathbb{E}b_i|,$$

$$v_i := |\mathbb{E}a_i^2 - \mathbb{E}b_i^2|.$$

In addition, suppose

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{E}[|a_i|^3 + |b_i|^3] \leq M < \infty.$$

Then

$$|\mathbb{E}h(a) - \mathbb{E}h(b)| \leq L_1 \sum_i u_i + \frac{L_2}{2} \sum_i v_i + \frac{nL_3}{6} M.$$

1. Prove the classical CLT using the theorem above.

2. Prove the above theorem.

3. The Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (S-K) model, can be briefly described as follows: For each  $N \geq 1$  let  $\{J_{ij}^N, 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$  be a collection of i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/N)$  random variables. The S-K model assigns a random probability distribution (the Gibbs measure) on  $J_N$  as follows: For any configuration  $\sigma \in \Sigma_N = \{-1, 1\}^{\otimes N}$ , the probability of the system being in the state  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N)$  is given by

$$p_{N,J}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = Z_{N,J}^{-1} \exp(-\beta H_{N,J}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})),$$

where  $H_{N,g}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i < j} J_{ij}^N \sigma_i \sigma_j - h \sum_{i \leq N} \sigma_i$ ,  $\beta$  and  $h$  are fixed parameters. It has been shown by [6] that the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}(\log Z_{N,J})$$

exists for all  $\beta$  and  $h$ . Then [9] proves (in particular) that

$$\frac{1}{N} (\log Z_{N,J} - \mathbb{E} \log Z_{N,J}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

for any  $\beta$  and  $h$ . Both the above facts were proved under the condition that  $J_{ij}^N$  are i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/N)$ . In fact, the rigorous proofs involve the use of intricate properties of Gaussian random variables.

Now, please try to use the Lindeberg's approach, i.e. Theorem 1, to derive a sufficient condition for invariance of the limiting free energy, with respect to the distributions of entries of  $J$ .

## References

- [1] Mohsen Bayati **and** Andrea Montanari. “The dynamics of message passing on dense graphs, with applications to compressed sensing”. **in***IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*: 57.2 (2011), **pages** 764–785.
- [2] Erwin Bolthausen. “An iterative construction of solutions of the TAP equations for the Sherrington–Kirkpatrick model”. **in***Communications in Mathematical Physics*: 325.1 (2014), **pages** 333–366.
- [3] Sourav Chatterjee. “A simple invariance theorem”. **in***arXiv preprint math/0508213*: (2005).
- [4] Oliver Y Feng **and** others. “A unifying tutorial on approximate message passing”. **in***Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning*: 15.4 (2022), **pages** 335–536.
- [5] Charles W Fox **and** Stephen J Roberts. “A tutorial on variational Bayesian inference”. **in***Artificial intelligence review*: 38 (2012), **pages** 85–95.
- [6] Francesco Guerra **and** Fabio Lucio Toninelli. “The thermodynamic limit in mean field spin glass models”. **in***Communications in Mathematical Physics*: 230 (2002), **pages** 71–79.
- [7] Andrea Montanari **and** Subhabrata Sen. “A short tutorial on mean-field spin glass techniques for non-physicists”. **in***arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02909*: (2022).
- [8] Dmitry Panchenko. *The sherrington-kirkpatrick model*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [9] Michel Talagrand. “The generalized Parisi formula”. **in***Comptes Rendus Mathématique*: 337.2 (2003), **pages** 111–114.